

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Adamacia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/455,664	12/07/1999		KLAUS MELGAARD	PHB-34-305	5449
24737	7590	11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN		CTUAL PROPERT	TY & STANDARDS		
		R. NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/455,664	MELGAARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marianne S. Ocampo	1723	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
The A 1.192(	opeal Brief filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR c). See MPEP § 1206.
1.192( mailing within	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the g date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. 🗵	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. 🗌	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fal together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8. 🖂	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. 🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	With respect to item 4, a typographical error has been found in page 3 of the appeal brief, 3 <sup>rd</sup> line of the last paragraph, the phrase "hall-like blocks" should be changed to "ball-like blocks", as in the original specification page 2, line 14,

With respect to item 8 above, claim 15 is missing from the clean copy of the claims in the appendix to the Appeal Brief filed on 8-21-03.

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700